



Junaid Rafiq

University of Management and Technology C-II, Johar Town, Lahore. , Lahore

Dr. Fariha Gul

Associate Professor University of Management and Technology C-II, Johar Town, Lahore. , Lahore

Impact Of Instructional Leadership On School Culture And Climate For School Improvement

Abstract

Numerous initiatives have been arranged to advance the educational quality in every country to be reliable and applicable to globalization. By accomplishing these objectives, educational principals as school heads are a notable individual in leading change. To activate educational change usage, educational principals require to implement instructional leadership that can positively affect the advancement of the quality teaching learning process and conducive learning environment, which is the backbone of school excellence. Perceiving this requirement, policymakers profoundly focus on the requirement for instructional leadership practices among educational leaders to understand the effective plan of their particular nation's education. The function of instructional leadership is still significant and applicable in school improvement in the 21st century concerning stabilizing the nation's educational quality. The school principal can work as instructional leaders who organize the learning environment for student improvement. In that respect, recent research and conversation to explore instructional leadership practices to improve school culture and climate change are essential for school excellence.

Keywords: Leadership, Instructional Leadership, culture, and climate, school improvement

Introduction

“Leadership” is a more extensive term; a leader must be visionary and vigorous. Leadership sets paths and instructions, designing vision, and work with innovation (Gandz, 2005). It is tied in the right direction, so a leader is an individual who directs and defines the path to perform administrative functions, guide the team in the right direction viably and productively. A good leader has a visionary trait, influencing persons to be an aspect of that vision, mentoring, and guiding towards accomplishing the vision. Leadership is a passionate relationship between supporters and leaders who foresee genuine transformation and impact their mutual objectives (Daft, 2014).

Good leadership required a leader that can connect, create a relationship, and get effective outcomes (Seashore Louis & Lee, 2016). An environment of collaboration and spotlight on a similar vision is more blended and gets the required results in an organization with an environment of collaboration and spotlight. For this reason, effective leadership is

needed for organizational advancement (Gintis, 2011). As indicated by investigators, there are supportive leadership, teacher collaboration, and capacity-building, which are perceived as a requirement for conducive organizational culture and climate (Ellie, 2012 & Gruenert, 2008). Researchers examined that positive school culture and climate improve students' results (Louis, Marks, & Kruse, 1996). For developing school culture and environment, instructional leadership plays a vital role in the organization (Önder, Akçil, & Cemaloğlu, 2019).

The education sector is the most ignored parts in Pakistan recognized by the UNICEF report that in Pakistan, the actual literacy rate is 60 Percent. Indeed, even afore the pandemic, around 24 million students out of the schools, HEC funds were not sufficient and meagre budget in the annual budget. This unawareness against the Pakistan educational law, primarily Article 25A and Article 38(d), which defines that the government shall give education to every civilian. During pandemic to evaluate the online educational challenges in Pakistan, the initial step is to aspect in all the educational shareholder. Firstly pupils criticized the move of educational ministry. The problems have been evaluated that the mostly student don't have a computer and internet connection or lack of training on how to use the online system. For the change of the traditional educational system to equitable e-learning system need effective leadership and the institutional culture for more effective work.

In the school's current situation, the literature felt essential to explain instructional leadership's impact on school culture and climate for school improvement. A detailed review has described the relationship of instruction leadership on school culture and environment for school improvement. Nguyễn, Hallinger, & Chen (2018) explained in many evaluations and originated that current literature in Asia is generally written in the native language or left unpublished. Indeed, this is factual that in Pakistan context, no such material on this area exists (Niqab, Sharma, Mei Wei, & Bt Maulod, 2014). Consequently, there is a necessity to enhance the literature to develop more awareness about instructional leadership practices and organizational culture in Pakistan.

Leadership

Leadership is explained by many investigators Terry, explained it “the practices of effecting individuals to endeavor readily for group objectives ” (Homewood, 1960). In the setting of this definition, the information, ethics, structure, and abilities are essential for the leader to influence all school communities to work jointly to achieve desired goals for quality education. As indicated by Thomson, leadership is explained as “getting work done through the involvement of people” (Newsletter, 1980). This definition implies that two things are vital for good leadership: achievement and affecting people.

As per Weber, leadership is explained as importing power with the desire of the supporters. Its authority promptly put resources into a trusted individual and succeeds as a sort of ethical and changing power over the intuitions (J. R. Weber, 1987).

In different leadership definitions, the two tasks are commonly considered: set directions and influencing people. These capabilities can be completed in various manners, with such contrasts recognizing numerous leadership models from each other. Yukl remarks, leadership impacts “the understanding of occasions for supports, the selection of

targets for group or institutions, the institutional work exercises to achieve goals, the inspiration of supporters to attain the goals, to maintain an interpersonal relationship, give support and corporation from individuals outside the group or association” (Yukl, 1994). He further clarified the most used distinction between “doing the right thing” and “doing things right” as generally meaningless: achieving success as an educational leader, involves “doing right things right” (Research, 2008).

Instructional Leadership

The instructional leadership concept has been commonly investigated from the 1980s to 1990s (Hallinger & Kantamara, 2000; Hallinger, 2003). Some several models and thoughts prevail to describe instructional leadership. Among the various instructional leadership models and theories might be mentioned by the (Hallinger & Murphy, 1985; W. J. Weber & Huang, 1996; Alig-Mielcarek & Hoy, 2005) and (Tan, 2012).

Hallinger & Murphy (1985) characterizes instructional leaders as school principal purposed to advance and promote the teaching-learning process in institute involving faculty members, pupils, school administration, and all the resources. Various researches of instructional leadership design model Hallinger & Murphy (1985) as a fundamental (Ghani, Jamil, & Shah, 2015; Hallinger, Adams, Harris, & Suzette Jones, 2018; Yusri Ibrahim, 2014; Business, 2010; Abas, Basri Jabatan Asas Pendidikan, Pengajian Pendidikan, & Serdang, 2019). In this model, there are three areas in instructional leadership practices: institute vision and mission, managing the teaching-learning process, and developing an institute learning culture. While sub-area of instructional leadership in this model involves eleven leadership practices, which involve designing institute goal, clarifying institute goal, teaching measurement, and evaluation process, curriculum coordination, evaluate pupil progress, ensure instructional time, keeping up learning help, give learning incentives, imposing quality standards, promote teacher capacity building and give teacher’s incentives for learning (Lyons, 2010; Peariso, 2011; Mattar, 2012).

In light of this model, Philip Hellinger designs the Principal Instructional Management Rating Scale (PIMRS) tool used in more than 175 universal reviews (Hallinger et al., 2018). To develop this model, Hellinger retitled the model and the concept of instructional leadership of Hallinger & Murphy's (1985) models. As indicated by him, the idea of instructional leadership is still by the necessitates and policy requirements, investigation and administration, and practices of educational leadership. Therefore, evaluating the degree of instructional strategies practices by the educational leaders in every institute should be distinguished from delivering effective impact leaders.

Culture and Climate

Anderson (1982) explained that intuitional culture and climate are complex processes distinct from each school. Understanding this process will improve the understanding of how an institute works and its effect on student outcomes. The relationship between pupils and faculty members creates a bond, enhancing self-discipline in pupils and creating a conducive learning environment (Clendaniel, 2006). For this review, the researcher got the meaning which is developed by the National School Council (2007):

Intuition culture explains the character and quality of the intuition lifecycle. Institute climate is based on student arrangements, parents, and faculty's everyday works of institute life and replicates procedures, goals, values, interpersonal relationships, teaching pedagogy, and management hierarchy.

Organizational structural alignment is also a very important aspect of creating a positive culture and climate. High results school leaders are very much clear to the vision and goal of the organization. From that vision, educational leaders designed learning objectives and goals (Saban & Change, 2009).

The term culture and climate are often used interchangeably in the studies, and researchers have a diverse concept towards these concepts. The research examined that a good school climate is necessary for a good relationship, society, student results, and long-term teacher availability (Foster, D., & Lopez, 2013). The National School Climate Council explained that culture is climate: interpersonal relationships, teaching and learning, and organization (Wu & Albanese, 2013).

For the positive culture and climate, planning of the curriculum and arrangement is necessary. The lessons' planning can create a guideline for novice and struggling faculty members in the right direction, which plays a vital role in developing a positive culture (Goodwin, Li, Broda, Johnson, & Schneider, 2016).

Relationship between Leadership and Organizational Culture

Posner & Rothbart (1998) explained that intuition leadership creates a positive association among teachers, parents, and executive teams. Institutional leaders develop an organization's culture in different means; Barnett, McCormick, & Connors (2000) examined that school principal foresees ethics daily. The educational leader regulates and puts ethics values through the words and practices in the organization. Teachers give similar values to the students within the classrooms.

According to Michael Fullan (2002), if educational leaders are not productive in cultivating a conducive environment, internal and external factors will shape the organizational culture. An organization doesn't have a positive culture by coincidental.

McEwan (2003) explained that an educational leader must be an innovator personality by effectively taking creativities, desires, energy, and motivation, and relationships with all the stakeholders. One aspect of good leadership is developing and sustaining relationships within the organization and instituting societies to change the positive culture.

Tsui, Zhang, Wang, Xin, & Wu (2006) examined that positive culture enables when these factors emerge: 1. an organization is new, 2. an organization is keen to variation and emergencies encourages the organization to observe its day to day work, customs, and morals. Deliberately developing a positive culture of the organization shows that students and teachers learning are the first urgencies for effective leaders. The organization leader is the highest culturally important person in the organization (Barth, 2002).

According to Tsai (2011), school leadership and culture underpinning are related, and that eventual leadership work is to design an organizational culture. Intuition leaders are the change agents that positively impact organizational culture transformation in

positive ways. Although it's not an easy task, different reviews show that leadership plays an effective role in designing organizational culture and climate (Benoliel & Barth, 2017).

Developing and enforcing a change in the institute creates a good impact for an educational principal on institute culture. In many reviews, investigators have been stable the proof that the organizational culture has a positive impact on student learning achievements (Philip Hallinger & Huber, 2012; Louis, Thomas, & Anderson, 2010; Louis, Thomas, et al., 2010; Witziers et al., 2003).

Maxwell, Locke, & Scheurich (2014) explained the encouraging "you must love the person more than the designation." By enlightening the relationship between the administration and faculty members, organizational culture might change in positive ways.

Relationship between instructional leadership and school improvement

Policymakers and strategy specialist accept that instructional leadership is a vital factor in making school improvement (Hallinger, 2017) because the idea of instructional leadership (Hallinger, 2003; Hallinger, Taraseina, & Miller, 1994) depends on viable school improvement research, practical application towards change and school improvement led in different nations by (Edmonds, 1979; Murphy, 1990; Heck, Larsen, & Marcoulides, 1990). In this respect, the training was likewise accentuated by the Ministry of Education (MOE) in the educational leaders to promote quality in education. The role of educational leaders as an instructional principle is as yet underlined in initializing instructional practices at school in promoting the success towards the 21st century. (Hoy & Hoy, 2003) emphasis on the significance of instructional leadership by expressing that the fundamental function of the institute is to be associated with the teaching-learning process. So, being an instructional leader, school principal needs to organize an activity to promote the quality teaching-learning process, which is the center of the institute.

The quality teaching learning has a critical association with the degree of instructional leadership practices implemented by the educational leaders. Several studies investigated the association between instructional leadership and quality teaching-learning process showed positive relationships (Aziz et al., 2017; Fauzi et al., 2014; Z. Aziz & Sciences, 2011). The discoveries of Aziz et al., (2017) explain that instructional leadership has a significant positive association and contributes significantly to the teaching competencies ($CR=7.635, 0.349$ $P=0.00$). This is additionally confirmed by the (RAHIMI Yusof, Faiz Mohd Yaakob, & YUSRI Ibrahim, 2019) that the instructional leadership of school principals considers the teaching-learning process. Teachers are the primary agent who imparts knowledge in the classroom. The impact of instructional leaders on promoting the teaching-learning process can impact student outcomes. On the foundation of Hellinger on the models of leadership (2011), he investigated that instructional leadership had a positive effect on pupils learning. The discoveries of (Raman, Mey, Don, Daud, & Khalid, 2015) examined that instructional leadership practices promote student learning quality. Instructional leadership has a strong positive impact on pupils learning then transformation leadership (RAHIMI Yusof et al., 2019) By this significance, instructional leadership practices play a vital role in promoting the school improvement.

Relationship between organizational culture and student outcomes

Fyans & Maehr (1990) examined that students are more encouraged to learn new knowledge in that organization with good culture. They dignified the effect of five domains of organizational culture: learning challenges, comparative achievements, outcomes appreciations, educational societies, and educational aims. More than 16000 students in 820 Illinois public schools participated in the investigations on these domains. Students examined higher encouragement in schools with good cultures.

In agreement with Michael Fullan (2002), educational leadership encourages pupils' best academic knowledge. Reviews have accrued inspiring confirmation on the impact of student's culture and student learning outcomes. A good school environment correlates positively with student outcomes and motivations. A culture of collaboration promotes student learning outcomes through effective teaching pedagogy.

Many reviews have examined school culture as the most significant factor in school improvement. Differences between organizational culture and student achievement might help educational principals focus on promoting student achievements. According to Peterson & Deal (2011), different reviews explained that school culture is an important factor in promoting student achievements. In previous reviews have examined a strong association between organizational culture and student achievement.

According to S Gruenert & Whitaker (2017), school averment and learning outcomes are the essential targets, and higher pupil achievement is a possible result of a more supportive school culture.

Conclusion

The significance of instructional leadership has been observationally evidenced for improving school culture and school improvement; the instructional leadership role in improving the culture and school improvement shouldn't be snub. The conversation explained that instructional leadership is very important to ensure a conducive school environment and school improvement. Therefore, the educational principal who works as an instructional leader needs to effectively endeavor to be a more influential leader for the school improvement.

References:

- Abas, N., Basri Jabatan Asas Pendidikan, R., Pengajian Pendidikan, F., & Serdang, U. (2019). Relationship between the dimensions of senior subject teachers' (gkmp) instructional leadership and the learning organisation at schools. In *International Research Journal of Education and Sciences (IRJES)* (Vol. 3).
- Alig-Mielcarek, J., & Hoy, W. (2005). *Instructional leadership*. Retrieved from [https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=sf0nDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA29&dq=Model+Murphy++\(1990\)+leadership&ots=oyolQ-VJaw&sig=5NXikstch0VumTbDV15aD5w-P5I](https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=sf0nDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA29&dq=Model+Murphy++(1990)+leadership&ots=oyolQ-VJaw&sig=5NXikstch0VumTbDV15aD5w-P5I)
- Anderson, C. S. (1982). The search for school climate: a review of the research. *Review of Educational Research*, 52(3), 368–420. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543052003368>
- Aziz, N. B., Esa, @, Shaladdin, M., Muda, B., Rohana, N., Mansor, B., ... Ibrahim, B. (2017). Literature review on instructional leadership practice among principals in managing changes. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and*

- Social Sciences*, 7(12), 2222–6990. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v7-i12/3588>
- Aziz, Z., & Sciences, S. B. (2011). Instructional leadership enhanced creativity in smart classroom activities. *Elsevier*, 15, 1566–1572. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877042811005118>
- Barnett, K., McCormick, J., & Conners, R. (2000). Leadership behaviour of secondary school principals, teacher outcomes and school culture. In *Correspondence: K. Barnett. School of Education*.
- Barth, R. S. (2002). The culture builder. In *cursa.ihmc.us* (Vol. 59). Retrieved from http://cursa.ihmc.us/rid=1207228897993_605800142_8024/Barth-Culture Builder.pdf
- Benoiel, P., & Barth, A. (2017). The implications of the school's cultural attributes in the relationships between participative leadership and teacher job satisfaction and burnout. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 55(6), 640–656. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEA-10-2016-0116>
- Business, P. P. (2010). The relationship of instructional leadership, teachers' organizational commitment and students' achievement in small schools. *Pdfs.Semanticscholar.Org*, 31. Retrieved from <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/804b/798d6f7005fa9c1b0865eb31d3de18113dbc.pdf>
- Clendaniel, M. T. (2006). An investigation of an after school math tutoring program: university tutors + elementary students = a successful partnership associate professor, professional studies in education department. In *schoolturnaroundsupport.org*. Retrieved from <https://schoolturnaroundsupport.org/sites/default/files/resources/contentserve4r.pdf>
- Daft, R. (2014). *The leadership experience*. Retrieved from <https://books.google.com/books?id=KOfKAgAAQBAJ&pgis=1>
- Edmonds, R. (1979). (1979). Effective schools for the urban poor. Retrieved November 25, 2019, from Educational Leadership website: https://www.google.com/search?ei=WoPbXYWFILC7gwf_nYqwBw&q=Edmonds%2C+R.+%281979%29%2C%E2%80%9CEffective+schools+for+the+urban+poor%E2%80%9CEducational+Leadership%2C+Vol.+37No.+1%2C+pp.+15-24.&oq=Edmonds%2C+R.+%281979%29%2C%E2%80%9CEffective+schools+for+the+urban+poor%E2%80%9CEduca
- Ellie, D. S. (2012). New opportunities for principal leadership: Shaping school climates for enhanced teacher development. *Teachers College Record*.
- Fauzi, A., Ayub, M., Bakar, K. A., Mohammad, A., Zadeh, H., Ghadirian, H., ... Zadeh, H. (2014). Knowledge sharing behaviour among students in learning environments: a review of literature factors that predict teachers satisfaction towards a learning management system view project predictive modeling of ict usage behaviour among business education te. *Asian Social Science*, 10(4), 38–45. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v10n4p38>
- Foster, D., & Lopez, D. (2013). (2013). School climate measurement. Retrieved December 4, 2019, from

- https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=Foster%2C+D.%2C+%26+Lopez%2C+D.+%282013%29.+School+climate+measurement+and+analysis.+In+T.+Dary+and++T.+Pickeral+%28Eds.%29%2C+School+climate+practices+for+implementation+and+sustainability+%28pp.+17
- Fullan, M. (2002). The role of leadership in the promotion of knowledge management in schools. *Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice*, Vol. 8, pp. 409–419. <https://doi.org/10.1080/135406002100000530>
- Fyans, L. J., & Maehr, M. L. (1990). *School “culture,” motivation, and achievement*. National Center for School Leadership, 1208 West Springfield, Room 208, Urbana, IL 61801 (Order No. PR-C004, \$8.00).
- Gandz, J. (2005). The leadership role | ivey business journal. Retrieved August 3, 2015, from <http://iveybusinessjournal.com/publication/the-leadership-role/>
- Ghani, R. A., Jamil, E., & Shah, N. N. A. (2015). *Antiproliferative activity of selected prophetic medicinal plants on human lung adenocarcinoma cells*, A549.
- Gintis, H. (2011). Gene-culture coevolution and the nature of human sociality. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0310>
- Goodwin, R. N., Li, W., Broda, M., Johnson, H. L., & Schneider, B. (2016). Improving college enrollment of at-risk students at the school level. *Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk*, 21(3), 143–156. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10824669.2016.1182027>
- Gruenert, S., & Whitaker, T. (2017). *Steve gruenert school culture rewired*. Retrieved from <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=gWYeDQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=P1&dq=gruenert+2015+school+cultures&ots=ou5CmSwofb&sig=XLStltnwTXFnPqW9pWwYeDnk0E>
- Gruenert, Steve. (2008). School culture, school climate: They are not the same thing. *Principal*.
- Hadar, L. and Brody, D. (2010). (2010). Hadar, L. and Brody, D. (2010), “From isolation... - Google Scholar. Retrieved December 4, 2019, from https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=Hadar%2C+L.+and+Brody%2C+D.+%282010%29%2C%22From+isolation+to+symphonic+harmony%3A+building+a+professional+development+community+among+teacher+educators%2C+Teaching+and+Teacher+Education%2C+Vol.+26+No
- Hallinger, P. (2003). Leading educational change: Reflections on the practice of instructional and transformational leadership. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 33(3), 329–351. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0305764032000122005>
- Hallinger, P. (2017). Perspectives on principal instructional leadership in Vietnam: a preliminary model. *Article in Journal of Educational Administration*, 55(2), 222–239. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEA-11-2015-0106>
- Hallinger, P., Adams, D., Harris, A., & Suzette Jones, M. (2018). Review of conceptual models and methodologies in research on principal instructional leadership in Malaysia: a case of knowledge construction in a developing society. *Journal of Educational Administration*, Vol. 56, pp. 104–126. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEA-03->

2017-0025

- Hallinger, P., & Huber, S. (2012, December). School leadership that makes a difference: International perspectives. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, Vol. 23, pp. 359–367. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09243453.2012.681508>
- Hallinger, P., & Kantamara, P. (2000). Educational change in Thailand: Opening a window onto leadership as a cultural process. *School Leadership and Management*, 20(2), 189–205. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632430050011425>
- Hallinger, P., & Murphy, J. (1985). Assessing the instructional management behavior of principals. *The Elementary School Journal*, 86(2), 217–247. <https://doi.org/10.1086/461445>
- Hallinger, P., Taraseina, P., & Miller, J. (1994). Assessing the instructional leadership of secondary school principals in Thailand. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 5(4), 321–348. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0924345940050401>
- Heck, R. H., Larsen, T. J., & Marcoulides, G. a. (1990). Instructional leadership and school achievement: Validation of a causal model. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 26, 94–125. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X90026002002>
- Homewood, R. T. (1960). *Principals of management*. (3rd éd.).
- Hoy, A. W., & Hoy, W. K. (2003). Instructional leadership: A learning-centered guide. *Instructional Leadership: A Learning-Centered Guide*. Retrieved from <http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc4&NEWS=N&AN=2004-17298-000>
- Louis, K. S., Marks, H. M., & Kruse, S. (1996). Teachers' professional community in restructuring schools. *American Educational Research Journal*, 33(4), 757–798. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312033004757>
- Louis, K. S., Thomas, E., & Anderson, S. (2010). How do states influence leadership in small districts? *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 9(3), 328–366. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15700761003731518>
- Lyons, B. J. (2010). *Principal Instructional Leadership Behavior as Perceived by Teachers and Principals at New York State Recognized and Non-Recognized Middle Schools*. Retrieved from <https://scholarship.shu.edu/dissertations>
- Mattar, D. (2012). Instructional leadership in lebanese public schools. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 40(4), 509–531. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143212438222>
- Maxwell, G. M., Locke, L. A., & Scheurich, J. J. (2014). The rural social justice leader: An exploratory profile in resilience. *Journal of School Leadership*, 24(3), 482–508. <https://doi.org/10.1177/105268461402400304>
- McEwan, E. (2003). *Ten traits of highly effective principals: From good to great performance*. Retrieved from https://scholar.google.com.pk/scholar?q=McEvan%2C+E.+K.+%282003%29.++7+s+tps+to+effective+instruction+leadership&btnG=&hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5#0
- Murphy, J. (1990). Principal instructional leadership. *Advances in Educational Leadership*, 163–200.
- Newsletter, S. T.-N. (1980). *Editorial: Effective leadership*.

- Nguyễn, H. T., Hallinger, P., & Chen, C. W. (2018). Assessing and strengthening instructional leadership among primary school principals in Vietnam. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 32(3), 396–415. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-02-2017-0046>
- Niqab, M., Sharma, S., Mei Wei, L., & Bt Maulod, S. A. (2014). Instructional leadership potential among school principals in Pakistan. *International Education Studies*, 7(6), 74–85. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v7n6p74>
- O'Connor, R. E. (2004). (2004). "Revealing the hidden world. Retrieved December 4, 2019, from https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=O'Connor%2C+R.E.+%282004%29%2C%22Revealing+the+hidden+world+of+research%22+Journal+of+Learning+Disabilities%2C+Vol.+37+No.+3%2C+pp.+224-230.&btnG=
- Önder, M. E., Akçil, U., & Cemaloğlu, N. (2019). The relationship between teachers' organizational commitment, job satisfaction and whistleblowing. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(21). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11215995>
- Peariso, J. F. (2011). *A study of principals' instructional leadership behaviors and beliefs of good pedagogical practice among effective california high schools serving socioeconomically disadvantaged and english learners.*
- Peterson, K. D., & Deal, T. E. (2011). *The shaping school culture fieldbook.* Retrieved from www.josseybass.com
- Posner, M. I., & Rothbart, M. K. (1998). Attention, self-regulation and consciousness. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 353(1377), 1915–1927. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1998.0344>
- RAHIMI Yusof, M., Faiz Mohd Yaakob, M., & YUSRI Ibrahim, M. (2019). Digital leadership among school leaders in malaysia future learning education development (fuled) tutor view project school culture view project. In *International journal of innovative technology and exploring engineering (ijitee)*. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/334508172>
- Raman, A., Mey, C. H., Don, Y., Daud, Y., & Khalid, R. (2015). Relationship between principals' transformational leadership style and secondary school teachers' commitment. *Asian Social Science*, 11(15), 221–228. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v11n15p221>
- Research, J. O.-G. leadership. (2008). Global leadership: Research, practice, and development (global HRM). In *books.google.com*. Retrieved from <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=jSqtAgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA34&dq=leadership+by+ibid&ots=e27cbYzTtB&sig=IFmSZky9JiU6BuA5BHzOVOE-EzrQ>
- Saban, J., & Change, S. W.-C. for. (2009). Mentoring principals around leadership practices. *Search.Ebscohost.Com*. Retrieved from http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=site&auth_type=crawler&jrnl=07392532&AN=47144788&h=uoEjJOq%2FLjXRqwrtdmRzsCeIaZ5FeclVNtJFvgk84NRQDrB5IDAviuSNevDjc%2FNdT0qf80vk6BhoUbmYRac-cbg%3D%3D&crl=c

- Seashore Louis, K., & Lee, M. (2016). Teachers' capacity for organizational learning: the effects of school culture and context. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 27(4), 534–556. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09243453.2016.1189437>
- Tan, C. Y. (2012). Instructional leadership: toward a contextualised knowledge creation model. *School Leadership and Management*, 32(2), 183–194. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13632434.2011.614944>
- Tsai, Y. (2011). Relationship between organizational culture, leadership behavior and job satisfaction. *BMC Health Services Research*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-11-98>
- Tsui, A. S., Zhang, Z.-X., Wang, H., Xin, K. R., & Wu, J. B. (2006). Unpacking the relationship between ceo leadership behavior and organizational culture. *Elsevier*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2005.12.001>
- Weber, J. R. (1987). *Synthesis of literature prepared for the north central regional educational laboratory by the eric clearinghouse on educational management university of oregon*. Retrieved from <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED287211>
- Weber, W. J., & Huang, W. (1996). A distributed reactivity model for sorption by soils and sediments. 4. Intraparticle heterogeneity and phase-distribution relationships under nonequilibrium conditions. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 30(3), 881–888. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es950329y>
- Witziers, B., Bosker, R. J., & Krüger, M. L. (2003). Educational leadership and student achievement: The elusive search for an association. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, Vol. 39, pp. 398–425. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X03253411>
- Wu, J. J., & Albanese, D. L. (2013). Imagination and creativity: wellsprings and streams of education - the Taiwan experience. *Educational Psychology*, 33(5), 561–581. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2013.813689>
- Yukl, G. (1994). *Leadership in organizations*, prentice hall, englewood cliffs, New Jersey.
- Yusri Ibrahim, M. (2014). Model of e-leadership, intra-team communication and job satisfaction among school leaders in Malaysia. *Print) Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences MCSER Publishing*, 5, 2039–2117. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n23p1927>